UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,743	08/25/2005	Sergio Debernardi	9526-47 9279		
³⁰⁴⁴⁸ AKERMAN S E	7590 04/06/200 ENTERFITT	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 3188		WU, IVES J			
WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33402-3188			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1797		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			04/06/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		10/519,743		DEBERNARDI, SERGIO		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		IVES WU		1797		
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	E of this communication a	ppears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress	
WHICHEVER IS LONGE - Extensions of time may be availafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or	TORY PERIOD FOR REFER, FROM THE MAILING able under the provisions of 37 CFR mailing date of this communication. If above, the maximum statutory pericextended period for reply will, by statilater than three months after the mail See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS 1.136(a). In no event, od will apply and will ex- cute, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is FIN A 3)□ Since this applicat	nmunication(s) filed on <u>16</u> AL. 2b) ☐ The fon is in condition for allow the practice under	nis action is non- vance except for	formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above cl 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/ 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/ar 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/	e rejected.	rawn from consi				
<u> </u>	objected to by the Exami	nor				
10) The drawing(s) filed	d on is/are: a) ac quest that any objection to th g sheet(s) including the corre	ccepted or b) ne drawing(s) be hection is required	eld in abeyance. Seef the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (legal parts) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate (legal parts) 3) Information Disclosure State (Paper No(s)/Mail Date (legal parts)	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	=	nte		

Application/Control Number: 10/519,743 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

(1). Applicant's Amendments and Remarks filed on 1/16/2009 have been received. Claims 1-10 are amended.

A new ground of rejection for claims 1-10 is presented in succeeding paragraph.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

(2). Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In claim 1, it recites: pressurized liquid ammonia being at a pressure greater than flow of synthesis gas taken from the first or intermediate stage of compression. However, it is not supported in the Specification literally. Although it is disclosed pressurized liquid ammonium ([0027]) and create a compression of such flow of reactant gases inside the mixer 16 ([0035]), but it does not cite the pressure of liquid ammonia is higher than that of synthesis gas, furthermore the compression due to the liquid ammonia could be the some volume of synthesis gas occupied by liquid ammonia, not only momentum impact by liquid ammonia. It raises a new matter and rejected.

Claims 2-10 are rejected because of their dependence.

Response to Arguments

(3). Applicant's arguments filed on 1/16/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that prior art Moore (WO 01/66465A1) teaches explicitly to reduce the pressure of the liquid medium before it enters the dehydrator, via valve 55 (cf. page 10, line

Application/Control Number: 10/519,743 Page 3

Art Unit: 1797

28). This is a further confirmation that Moore ignores any use of pressure energy of the liquid ammonia (page 7, current Remarks).

However, the pressure reduce valve 55 is used in conjunction with the dehydrator – bubble cap trays disclosed by Moore (WO 01/66465A1), in combining, the Venturi mixer disclosed by Bendix and Torkildsen (WO 99/13963) would replace the reduce valve 55 and bubble cap tray dehydrator together.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to IVES WU whose telephone number is (571)272-4245. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner: Ives Wu Art Unit: 1797

Date: March 30, 2009

/Frank M. Lawrence/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797